UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/586,643 | 06/13/2007 | Noriaki Fujii | 060533 | 6041 |
| | 7590 02/12/200 T OS & HANSON, LL | EXAMINER | | |
| 1420 K Street, N.W. | | | ESHETE, ZELALEM | |
| Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3748 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/12/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/586,643 | FUJII ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Zelalem Eshete | 3748 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | -· action is non-final. | | | | | |
| <i>;</i> — | , | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| · | | 3.3.2.3. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to. | · <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | | • • | | | | |
| The dainer decidation is objected to by the Ext | animor. Note the attached Cines | 7.00.017.01.101111.17.0.102. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in Application | on No | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/19/06</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/586,643 Page 2

Art Unit: 3748

et al. (6,354,255).

combustion chamber (figure 1).

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1,2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Methley

Regarding claim 1: Methley discloses an engine comprising: a cylinder head forming a portion of an engine body (figure 1); an intake valve operating device having an intake camshaft (22); an intake valve driven for opening and closing operations by the intake valve operating device, the intake valve being provided in the cylinder head (12); an exhaust valve operating device having an exhaust camshaft (16); and an exhaust valve driven for opening and closing operations by the exhaust valve operating device, the exhaust valve being provided in the cylinder head (14), characterized in that the intake camshaft is placed higher in position than the exhaust camshaft by increasing the distance between the intake camshaft and a combustion chamber along a cylinder

axis of the engine body relative to the distance between the exhaust camshaft and the

Application/Control Number: 10/586,643 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claim 2: Mathley discloses the intake valve operating device has a variable lift mechanism capable of changing the valve opening lift amount of the intake valve, and the exhaust valve operating device has the exhaust camshaft and an exhaust rocker arm linked and connected to the exhaust valve so as to swing by following the exhaust camshaft (figure 1).

3. Claims 1,2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimizu et al. (6,425,357).

Regarding claim 1: Shimizu discloses an engine comprising: a cylinder head forming a portion of an engine body (figure 2); an intake valve operating device having an intake camshaft (45); an intake valve driven for opening and closing operations by the intake valve operating device, the intake valve being provided in the cylinder head (12a); an exhaust valve operating device having an exhaust camshaft (46); and an exhaust valve driven for opening and closing operations by the exhaust valve operating device, the exhaust valve being provided in the cylinder head (16a), characterized in that the intake camshaft is placed higher in position than the exhaust camshaft by increasing the distance between the intake camshaft and a combustion chamber along a cylinder axis of the engine body relative to the distance between the exhaust camshaft and the combustion chamber (figure 2).

Regarding claim 2: Shimizu discloses the intake valve operating device has a variable lift mechanism capable of changing the valve opening lift amount of the intake valve, and the exhaust valve operating device has the exhaust camshaft and an exhaust rocker arm linked and connected to the exhaust valve so as to swing by following the exhaust camshaft (figure 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mathley in view of JP(5,39707).

Mathley discloses the claimed invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose the engine body is placed in an attitude such that the cylinder axis is inclined toward the exhaust valve operating device.

However, JP'707 teaches the engine body is placed in an attitude such that the cylinder axis is inclined toward the exhaust valve operating device (see figures 2,4).

Art Unit: 3748

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Mathley by providing engine arrangement as taught by JP'707 in order to adapt existing engine configurations. It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to implement the system of Mathley to well known V-type engines and slant engines.

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mathley in view of JP(11,107855).

Mathley discloses the claimed invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose the engine body is placed in an attitude such that the cylinder axis is inclined toward the exhaust valve operating device.

However, JP'855 teaches the engine body is placed in an attitude such that the cylinder axis is inclined toward the exhaust valve operating device (see figures 3,6).

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Mathley by providing engine arrangement as taught by JP'855 in order to adapt existing engine configurations. It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to implement the system of Mathley to well known V-type engines and slant engines.

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu in view of JP(5,39707).

Shimizu discloses the claimed invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose the engine body is placed in an attitude such that the cylinder axis is inclined toward the exhaust valve operating device.

However, JP'707 teaches the engine body is placed in an attitude such that the cylinder axis is inclined toward the exhaust valve operating device (see figures 2,4).

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Shimizu by providing engine arrangement as taught by JP'707 in order to adapt existing engine configurations. It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to implement the system of Shimizu to well known V-type engines and slant engines.

8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimizu in view of JP(11,107855).

Shimizu discloses the claimed invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose the engine body is placed in an attitude such that the cylinder axis is inclined toward the exhaust valve operating device.

However, JP'855 teaches the engine body is placed in an attitude such that the cylinder axis is inclined toward the exhaust valve operating device (see figures 3,6).

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Shimizu by providing engine arrangement as taught by JP'855 in order to adapt existing engine configurations. It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to implement the system of Shimizu to well known V-type engines and slant engines.

9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mathley.

Mathley discloses the claimed invention as recited above; however, fails to show in the figure the direction of rotation of the intake camshaft.

However, Mathley discloses the cam lobe causes to rotate the rocker (26) clockwise as viewed (column 3, lines 15 to 25).

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art to arrange a counter clock wise rotation for the camshaft in order to achieve a smooth motion transfer and such arrangement shows the intake camshaft is set so that the intake camshaft rotates by moving upward on the side where the intake camshaft faces the exhaust valve operating device (see figure 1).

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zelalem Eshete whose telephone number is (571) 272-4860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Zelalem Eshete/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3748